



Figure 1: (a) A subject indicating her personal space (green: transition ‘social’ to ‘personal’ space, red: transition ‘personal’ to ‘intimate’ space) while being approached by a female virtual agent. (b) A group of three approaching male virtual agents.

‘personal’ to ‘intimate’ space, exemplarily shown in Figure 1a.

In conclusion, the framework will support researchers in sampling the personal space and thus allows a better understanding of the influencing factors. By providing crucial insights into the complexity of social interactions, open research questions in the area of social behavior can be answered. In addition, the virtual agents’ behavioral control required in the area of social virtual reality can be improved, turning them into more advanced (emotional) human interfaces.

References

- [ASOB⁺15] Ferran Argelaguet Sanz, Anne-Hélène Olivier, Gerd Bruder, Julien Pettré, and Anatole Lécuyer. Virtual Proxemics: Locomotion in the Presence of Obstacles in Large Immersive Projection Environments. In *Proceedings of IEEE Virtual Reality Conference*, pages 75 – 80, Arles, France, March 2015.
- [BBBL03] J.N. Bailenson, J. Blascovich, A. Beall, and J. Loomis. Interpersonal Distance in Immersive Virtual Environments. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 29(7):819–833, 2003.
- [Hal66] E.T. Hall. *The Hidden Dimension: Man’s Use of Space in Public and Private*. The Bodley Head Ltd, 1966.
- [Hay78] Leslie A Hayduk. Personal Space: An Evaluative and Orienting Overview. *Psychological Bulletin*, 85(1):117, 1978.